

Exhibit A

Exhibit A is made up of letters from NHTSA to various individuals, answering questions about if, how and when NHTSA, or the Department of Transportation, approves various items of motor vehicle equipment.

Exhibit B

Exhibit B is a replica of the Nevada Attorney General's Opinion #2002-41, written in an effort to clarify enforcement problems with the helmet law, brought to their attention by the commander of the Nevada Highway Patrol, Colonel David Hosmer.

Exhibit C

Exhibit C is made up of e-mails, from and to plaintiff Richard Quigley and various individuals within the Nevada Department of Public Safety, in which Quigley sought a means of compliance with the Nevada helmet law statute.

Exhibit D

Exhibit D is a transcript of the hearing regarding the problems with the vagueness of Nevada's helmet law statute – an April 10, 2003 hearing by the Senate Transportation Committee to decide if Senate Bill #SB-274 should be put in front of the Nevada Legislature for a vote.

Exhibit E

Exhibit E is made up of letters back and forth from Richard Quigley to various individuals within the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, documenting Quigley's quest to determine what would be required by the department relative to enforcement and compliance with Nevada's helmet law.